

United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 07/17/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE. | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/523,503 | 03/10/2000 | Michael A. Masini | MED-02702/29 | 4920 |
| 7 | 590 07/17/2002 | | | |
| John G Posa | | | EXAMINER | |
| Gifford Krass Groh Sprinkle Patmore Anderson & Citkowski PC 280 N Old Woodward Avenue Suite 400 Birmingham, MI 48009 | | | ROBERT, EDUARDO C | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 3732 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/523,503 | MASINI, MICHAEL A. | | | |
| , | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Eduardo C. Robert | 3732 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. |) a timely filed amendment whic | ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in | | | |
| PERIOD FOR R | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c) | of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the mai | ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | |
| (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | |
| (c) | in better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or simplifying the | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | tion(s): | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | l be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed amendment | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | |
| The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | | | | |
| For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 8-16. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-7. | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on 08 July 2 | <u>2002</u> is a) approved or b)⊠ | disapproved by the Examiner. | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | |
| 10. | | | | | |
| | | Affile LOX | | | |
| | | Eduardo C. Robert Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3732 | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No. 09/523,503



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's amendment to claim 14 changes the scope of the claim and will required further consideration and/or search. Moreover, Applicant has not provide any amendment or arguments with regard the 35 USC 101 rejection and neither to the 112 rejection since applicant does not address the question of what he considers to be the "movable member", e.g. assembly comprising rod 112, surface 114, member 116, and cutting block 120; or the slidable member 112; or the cutting block 120, etc. Furthermore, problems with the specification have not been addressed. With regard to drawing changes the changes have not been made in red ink as required.